CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

HOUSE BILL 1296

Chapter 196, Laws of 2005

59th Legislature 2005 Regular Session

HARASSMENT--PROTECTION ORDERS--JURISDICTION

EFFECTIVE DATE: 7/24/05

Passed by the House February 25, 2005 Yeas 95 Nays 0

FRANK CHOPP

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Passed by the Senate April 12, 2005 Yeas 41 Nays 0

BRAD OWEN

President of the Senate

Approved April 26, 2005.

CERTIFICATE

I, Richard Nafziger, Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **HOUSE BILL 1296** as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set forth.

RICHARD NAFZIGER

Chief Clerk

FILED

April 26, 2005 - 2:28 p.m.

CHRISTINE GREGOIRE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington _____

HOUSE BILL 1296

Passed Legislature - 2005 Regular Session

State of Washington 59th Legislature 2005 Regular Session

By Representatives Lovick, Flannigan, Williams, Priest and Serben

Read first time 01/20/2005. Referred to Committee on Judiciary.

- AN ACT Relating to jurisdiction for antiharassment protection orders; and amending RCW 10.14.150 and 10.14.160.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

6 7

8

10

11

1213

1415

16

17

18

- 4 **Sec. 1.** RCW 10.14.150 and 1999 c 170 s 1 are each amended to read 5 as follows:
 - (1) The district courts shall have jurisdiction and cognizance of any civil actions and proceedings brought under this chapter, except the district court shall transfer such actions and proceedings to the superior court when it is shown that the respondent to the petition is under eighteen years of age.
 - (2) <u>Municipal courts may exercise jurisdiction and cognizance of any civil actions and proceedings brought under this chapter by adoption of local court rule, except the municipal court shall transfer such actions and proceedings to the superior court when it is shown that the respondent to the petition is under eighteen years of age.</u>
 - (3) Superior courts shall have concurrent jurisdiction to receive transfer of antiharassment petitions in cases where a district or municipal court judge makes findings of fact and conclusions of law

p. 1 HB 1296.SL

- 1 showing that meritorious reasons exist for the transfer. The municipal
- 2 and district courts shall have jurisdiction and cognizance of any
- 3 criminal actions brought under RCW 10.14.120 and 10.14.170.
- 4 **Sec. 2.** RCW 10.14.160 and 1992 c 127 s 1 are each amended to read 5 as follows:
- 6 For the purposes of this chapter an action may be brought in:
- 7 (1) The judicial district of the county in which the alleged acts 8 of unlawful harassment occurred;
- 9 (2) The judicial district of the county where any respondent 10 resides at the time the petition is filed; ((or))
- 11 (3) The judicial district of the county where a respondent may be 12 served if it is the same county or judicial district where a respondent 13 resides;
- 14 <u>(4) The municipality in which the alleged acts of unlawful</u> 15 <u>harassment occurred;</u>
- 16 <u>(5) The municipality where any respondent resides at the time the</u> 17 petition is filed; or
- 18 (6) The municipality where a respondent may be served if it is the same county or judicial district where a respondent resides.

Passed by the House February 25, 2005.
Passed by the Senate April 12, 2005.
Approved by the Governor April 26, 2005.
Filed in Office of Secretary of State April 26, 2005.